

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/689,401	10/21/2003	Hiroyuki Noguchi	03FI001US	03FI001US 2534	
	90 11/24/2004		EXAMINER		
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			TRAN, LEN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725		
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/689,401	NOGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Len Tran	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 October 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		5				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	PTO-413) e				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/03.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/689,401

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kashima et al (US 4,163,000).

As to claim 1, Kashima et al disclose a method of casting aluminum (col. 7, line 64-65) comprising the steps of producing a sand mold (col. 6, line 27-28), injecting molten aluminum into the mold, cooling the casting together with the mold by water, and dismantling the mold (col. 7, lines 62-69).

As to claim 2, the cooling step comprises dipping the sand mold together with the casting in water (col. 9, lines 25-31).

As to claim 4 and 5, the sand is dried and reused (col. 9, line 31 and col. 14, lines 11-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,401

Art Unit: 1725

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashima et al (US '000), and further in view of Sutton et al (US 5,735,334).

As to claim 3, Kashima et al disclose a method of casting aluminum (col. 7, line 64-65) comprising the steps of producing a sand mold (col. 6, line 27-28), injecting molten aluminum into the mold, cooling the casting together with the mold by water, and dismantling the mold (col. 7, lines 62-69), wherein the cooling step comprises dipping the sand mold together with the casting in water (col. 9, lines 25-31).

Kashima et al fail to disclose the steps of producing a unit sand mold within a mold making chamber on a casting line, the sand mold having cavities on front and rear faces thereof in a direction of casting line, connecting a plurality of unit sand molds on the casting line by joining the front face of one unit sand mold to the rear face of the preceding unit sand mold to form a train of connected sand mold, injecting molten aluminum into the cavities, and cutting the train of connected sand molds at substantially central portion of each unit sand mold.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,401

Art Unit: 1725

However, Sutton et al disclose the steps of producing a unit sand mold within a mold making chamber on a casting line, the sand mold having cavities on front and rear faces thereof in a direction of casting line, connecting a plurality of unit sand molds on the casting line by joining the front face of one unit sand mold to the rear face of the preceding unit sand mold to form a train of connected sand mold (col. 2, lines 14-30, col. 3, lines 36-54) for the purpose of increasing the rate at which casting can be made.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide successions of mold halves as taught by Sutton et al, in Kashima et al in order to increase production rate.

Furthermore, it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to cut the train of connected sand molds at a substantially central portion of each unit sand mold, since both sides of the mold are cavities, which only leaves the center of the mold to be cut. If the cutting was to take place at anywhere other than the center, then the casting will be defective. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily acknowledged the only part of the mold to be cut is the center of the mold.

As to claim 6, Kashima et al disclose the sand is dried and reused (col. 9, line 31 and col. 14, lines 11-15).

Citations of Relevant Prior Arts

Cle Nogueras et al (US 6,463,993) and Achinger et al (US 4,442,882)

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LT

November 23, 2004